



ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION

April 7, 2011

Energy Purchasing Services, Inc. :
: 11-0303
Petition for Confidential Treatment. :
SERVED ELECTRONICALLY

Sandee Whited
Energy Purchasing Services, Inc.
810 N. Ellsworth St.
Naperville, IL 60563
sandee@energysvcs.com

NOTICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE'S RULING

Dear Sir/Madam:

Notice is hereby given by the Administrative Law Judge that before a determination can be made regarding Energy Purchasing Services, Inc.'s ("EPS") March 30, 2011 "Petition for Confidential Treatment," EPS must submit a proper recertification report under 83 Ill. Adm. Code 454, "Licensure of Retail Electric Agents, Brokers and Consultants," ("Part 454"). EPS is encouraged to consider the format it used when it resubmitted its "ABC Annual 2009 Recertification Report under 83 Ill. Adm. Code 454" in response to the May 25, 2010 Administrative Law Judge ruling issued in Docket No. 10-0313. EPS shall submit a recertification report, entitled "ABC Annual 2010 Recertification Report under 83 Ill. Adm. Code 454," consistent with Part 454 in all respects no later than April 15, 2011.

Notice is also given by the Administrative Law Judge that the unredacted confidential version of EPS' recertification report shall contain the actual names of each retail electric supplier with which it had any contractual relationship during the prior calendar year.

Notice is further given by the Administrative Law Judge that the redacted public version of the recertification report must reflect the entire recertification report, the only difference from the unredacted confidential version of the report being the clearly marked redacted information in the public version.

Notice is further given by the Administrative Law Judge that with regard to any information redacted in the public version of the recertification report, EPS must submit a verified "Compliance Filing" in this docket (not a letter) by April 15, 2011 explaining why the redacted information warrants confidential treatment. Specifically, EPS must explain what particular harm it fears may occur, and how that harm may occur, if the redacted information became available to the public. The compliance filing shall also explain why two years of confidential treatment is warranted, as opposed to some other time period.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth A. Rolando
Chief Clerk

EAR:lkb
Administrative Law Judge Albers